



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/31/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/866,740	05/30/2001	Daping Chu	109678	5779
25944 7.	590 01/31/2003			
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320		•	ADDISON, KAREN B	
		Ţ	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2834	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				X				
	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	<i>*</i>	09/866,740	CHU, DAPING					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Karen B Addison	2834					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>						
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims								
4)🛛 (	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application							
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
The level of the control	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
7 19 <u>22</u>	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 🔲 (	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			v.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)∑	All b) Some * c) None of:							
1	. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
2	Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in A	opplication No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No( Informal Patent Application (PTC					

Application/Control Number: 09/866,740

Art Unit: 2834

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1 and 2 provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1 and 3 of copending Application No.09/866781. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boyd (6215227) in view of Perlman (3683211).

Boyd discloses a device in fig.6 comprising: 3 piezoelectric layers (40,45,50,55) clamp (60,65) together such that a voltage applied to one layer results in a voltage being

Application/Control Number: 09/866,740

Art Unit: 2834

gernerated across the other layer. Wherein, a common electrode (61) is provided between the two layers and an input electrode (46,48) is provided on one of the layers and output layer electrodes(52,53) being disposed on the opposite side of their respective layers compared with the common electrode. Boyde don't disclose two different types of piezoelectric material.

Perlman teaches a device in fig.2-4 comprising: multilayers(14,18) of piezoelectric material wherein at least one of the layer posesses ferroelectric material(Col.2 lines29-33). Perlman also discloses, the piezoelectric layers mounted on a subtrate (36). Wherein, a common electrode is provided between the layers and an input and output electrodes disposed of the opposite side of there respective layers for the purpose of limiting non-resonant vibrations of the device to those that yield the same polarity output signals. Therefore, it would have been obviouse to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Boyd with the teaching of Perlmans piezoelectric/ferroelectric layers for the purpose of limiting non-resonat vibration.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim11/1/02 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen B Addison whose telephone number is 703-306-5855. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on 703-308-1317. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3431 for regular communications and 703-305-3431 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

KBA January 20, 2003 NESTOR RAMIREZ SUPERVISORY PATERT EXAMINE TECHNOLORY CENTER 2800